

From: ECtHRwatch <ecthrwatch@gmail.com>
Subject: I shared a folder with the FBI containing evidence
Date: May 12, 2022 at 23:20
To: Adam Rogalski <RogalskiA@state.gov>, Mahonri Manjarrez <mmanjarrez@fbi.gov>
Cc: BeijingExec@state.gov, ParisExec@state.gov, Kevin Granville <kegran@nytimes.com>, David Enrich <david.enrich@nytimes.com>, Michael Forsythe <michael.forsythe@nytimes.com>

This email is CCed to, among other people, BeijingExec@state.gov and ParisExec@state.gov. I am humbly and respectfully asking the U.S. Ambassador to China, Mr. Burns, and the U.S. Ambassador to France, Ms. Bauer, if they could please ask Mr. Rogalski (who is working at the U.S. Embassy in China) and/or Mr. Manjarrez (who is working at the U.S. Embassy in France) to answer me. Thank you!

Most of my recent communications to the FBI can be read at:
<https://www.federal-bureau-of-investigation.com/open-letters/>
(NON OFFICIAL website on the FBI)

Dear Messrs. Rogalski and Manjarrez,

My reference for this case:
“REF_ECTHRWATCH_1_McDonalds_frauds_and_money_laundering”

I sent the FBI a link to a shared folder on the cloud in which I am going to put most, if not all, of the evidence I want to submit to the FBI.

I searched on Google what were the priorities of a Legal Attaché. I searched for the following keywords: “Legal Attaché priorities”.

I found a “REDACTED AND UNCLASSIFIED” document on:
<https://irp.fas.org/agency/doj/fbi/legat.pdf>

Starting on page 3 of the PDF, we can start reading about the “**Legal Attaché Mission and Priorities**”.

On page 4, it is written that “The investigative priorities of Legal Attaché offices mirror those of the FBI as a whole. The FBI’s strategic plan identifies three functional areas, or tiers, that prioritize the variety of threats it must address.”

“Tier One encompasses foreign intelligence, terrorist, **and criminal activities that directly threaten the national or economic security of the United States.**” (I emphasize)

The website of the United States Department of Homeland Security (<https://www.dhs.gov/topics/economic-security>) clearly implies that “Trade-based money laundering (TBML)” is related to “economic security”.

Furthermore, it is stated on this page <https://www.dhs.gov/trade-transparency> that “*Trade-based money laundering (TBML) is the process of disguising criminal proceeds through trade to legitimize their illicit origins. TBML, rather than being a single activity, refers to a variety of schemes used together to disguise criminal proceeds, which can involve moving illicit goods, falsifying trade documents, and misrepresenting trade-related financial transactions with the purpose of integrating criminal proceeds.*”

Messrs. Rogalski and Manjarrez, would you be kind enough to please explain to me how is that different from what the transnational criminal enterprise McDonald’s Corporation has been doing over the last few years if not the last few decades?

This is a *major case* for the FBI!

In the folder I’ve just shared with you, please click on the directory “Evidence”, then on the directory “2015-12-23”, then on the directory “20h30m_Beijing_time_Phone_Call_to_FBI_Chicago”, and finally please open and listen to the file named “+13124216700 on 2015-12-23 at 20.30_AWS_TO_PROCESS_01.mp3”.

Didn’t I try to warn the FBI over 6 years ago already? Do you know that McDonald’s has kept committing fraud even after the year 2015?

Back in 2015, didn’t I warn the FBI that American investors were being defrauded?

Messrs. Rogalski and Manjarrez, please say something. So far, you have kept silent. I am not sure I understand why you are giving me so far this silent treatment. Is McDonald’s too big to fail? If that’s not the reason, then, what is the reason?

This major case can lead to one of the largest bankruptcies ever. You know it is the truth, don't you?

If you don't understand, I will be happy to explain to you. But before I explain to you what you might not understand, you would first have to answer me to explain to me exactly what it is that you don't understand. Otherwise, how can I know? I am not a mind reader.

I am asking for the FBI to please answer me. If the FBI is not ready to answer me yet, can you at least acknowledge receipt of the communications I sent you? Are you able to connect to the folder I am now sharing with you on the cloud and are you able to download the evidence?

Thank you.

Yours sincerely,

X.

X-v-France.com

China, May 12, 2022, 23:20 Beijing time